The Sweet ‘Bees-ness’ of Honeycomb

… and the Mathematics of Hexagonal Geometry
The Sweet ‘Bees-ness’ of Honeycomb

A. Introduction – What is Honeycomb?

Mmmm, honey! Almost everyone loves this sweet, natural treat. Many people will also tell you that the best way to enjoy honey is straight from the ‘comb’ – the honeycomb, that is!

Have you ever taken a good hard look at a piece of honeycomb? What shape are the cells in a section of honey bee honeycomb? It’s a regular polygon with six sides… yes, it’s a hexagon!

(i) What is a polygon?

(ii) What does it mean for a shape to be ‘regular’ in geometry?

Of course, humans are interested in honeycomb because it contains honey, which we like to eat. However, bees create honeycomb to use them for a number of needs: to make honey out of nectar, to store honey, to store pollen, to lay eggs and to raise young bees (larvae) into adults.

Honeycomb cells are truly ‘multipurpose spaces’!

Honeycomb is constructed by bees out of a waxy substance called beeswax, which is made by young bees and takes a lot of energy for them to make.
B. Honeycomb Geometry

Each cell in a section of honeycomb has a **hexagonal** (‘hexagon-like’) opening and is in the shape of a type of **irregular polyhedron** called a **decahedra** (Phew! What a mouthful!). This means that the honeycomb cell has 10 flat sides.

Also, all the flat sides of honeycomb cell except for the hexagonal opening are **quadrilaterals**.

(i) What is a quadrilateral?

(ii) In the space below, draw an example of one type of quadrilateral and list its features or ‘properties’.

(By the way… Is a square a quadrilateral? Is a quadrilateral always square? You may wish to discuss this with your class and your teacher!)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of your quadrilateral:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Properties of a quadrilateral:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(iii) Can you identify the 9 quadrilaterals and the hexagon on the diagram of a honeycomb cell below? Label them from 1 to 9 and then label the regular hexagon that forms the cell opening as number ‘10’:

The closed ends of the honeycomb cells are a bit more complicated – they are trihedral (i.e., composed of three flat planes), ensuring that the back-to-back ends of honeycomb cells fit neatly against each other.

This means that the inside of the cell gives the bees maximum space (for growing young or storing honey) using minimum building materials (i.e., beeswax).

This is important for bees because it means that if they build a structure from beeswax it needs to be as energy inexpensive as possible.

At the same time the structure needs to be physically strong, leak-proof, resistant to attack from predators and well-suited to the range of purposes for which it was built. Quite a difficult design brief for even the best of architects!

Indeed, hexagonal structures are very strong. Honeycombs are usually constructed vertically (e.g., up a wall) and so they must be able to stand up firmly on their own and resist the downward pull of gravity.
Build your own honeycomb cell. For this activity you will need a pair of scissors and the shape net diagram on the next page.

Your completed decahedron should look something like the one in the illustrations below:

Once you have finished making your honeycomb cell, get together with some friends and see if you can group your cells together to make a three-dimensional model of a honeycomb.

Do your cells fit nicely together at the ends (ie, back-end to back-end, clustered groups) as well?
Build your Own Honeycomb Cell – Decahedron Shape Net

Cut the shape below out around the darker outlines, then fold along the thinner internal lines of the shape net.

Grab some sticky tape and carefully stick the shape together along the edges. Hey, presto! You have your very own honeycomb cell!
[This page has been left blank intentionally]
(iv) **Angles inside a Regular Hexagon.**

Can you remember (or do you know) how many degrees there are if I add up the angles inside any triangle?

\[ \text{Total degrees in a triangle} = \text{________} \]

This means that once we know the measurement of any two angles inside a triangle, we can work out the third one – for example, in a right angled triangle we might have one angle of \(90^\circ\) (of course), and another angle of \(30^\circ\):

![Diagram of a right angled triangle with 90°, 30° angles and a question mark for the third angle.]

\[
\text{Total degrees in the two angles we know} = 90 + 30 = 120
\]

Therefore, the missing angle we don’t know (‘?’) is calculated as:

\[
? = 180 - 120 = 60^\circ.
\]

Knowing that all the angles in a triangle add to \(180^\circ\) is also useful for working out the number of angles in other types of polygons as well! All we need to do is work out how many triangles occur in a given polygon and then we multiply this number by \(180^\circ\) to get the total degrees in that shape.

Let’s take the following **irregular pentagon** as an example (hmmm… why is it called an **irregular pentagon**?)

![Diagram of an irregular pentagon with 1, 2, 3 triangles marked inside.]

Here, we can count 1, 2, 3 triangles within the pentagonal shape simply by dividing the shape with diagonal lines that dissect and join each internal angle.

If there are 3 triangles within the shape, this means there is \(180^\circ \times 3 = 540^\circ\) **in the pentagon shape**. In fact, this is true of **any** pentagon, whether regular or irregular.

So, if I measured and added up the angles of **any** pentagonal (5 sided) polygon, I would get a total of \(540^\circ\)!
Now we’re ready to solve a problem. **How many degrees in a hexagon?**

**Without using a protractor to measure, can we find out the measurement of each angle in a regular hexagon?**

First, divide up the following regular hexagon into triangles by using your ruler and a pencil to draw diagonal lines that dissect the internal angles of the regular hexagon below. The first one has been done for you:

![Hexagon with diagonals drawn](image)

Count the number of triangles in the hexagon and multiply this by $180^\circ$ to get the total number of degrees inside a hexagon:

Next count the number of angles there are inside the hexagon and write the answer here:

In any regular shape (ie, a shape where all the sides are the same length), all the angles will also be exactly the same number of degrees. For example, in an equilateral (regular) triangle (with 3 equal sides and therefore 3 equal angles), each angle = $(180^\circ ÷ 3) = 60^\circ$.

![Equilateral triangle](image)

So, each angle in a regular hexagon $= \frac{\text{[total degrees in a hexagon]}}{\text{[number of angles in a hexagon]}}$

$= \frac{\quad}{\quad}^\circ$
C. Terrific Tessellations

Honeycombs on beehives demonstrate a hexagonally **tessellating pattern**. This means that all the shapes in the pattern fit neatly next to one another with no gaps or ‘blank spaces’ between them. Figures that are the same size and shape are known in mathematics as ‘**congruent**’ figures.

In particular, congruent hexagons can form a **regular tesselation**, which means that we only need to repeat that same shape (that is, we don’t need additional shapes to ‘fill the gaps’) to form a tesselating pattern:

![Non-regular Tesselating Pattern](Note the ‘gaps’ between the shapes) ![Regular Tesselating Pattern](Same shape repeated – no gaps!)

Not all congruent shapes can tesselate regularly — however, hexagons tesselate very nicely indeed!

This is kind of a big deal for bees, because it means that all the cells in the honeycomb share each and every wall with other cells in the honeycomb. This is very efficient, minimising the amount of beeswax needed and thus saving the bees lots of energy!

(i) Have a look at the regular shapes below. Which of these shapes, if repeated congruently, would enable a **regular tesselation pattern**? You may wish to explore this by trying to create your own tesselating patterns using these shapes using the grid paper on the next page.

![Regular Shapes](triangle, pentagon, diamond, cross, octagon)
(ii) Look again at the diagram showing the three-dimensional models of honeycomb cells interlocked together:

So, three dimensional objects can also tessellate! Here are some examples of other three dimensional tessellating patterns:

- Tessellating Regular Pentagonal Dodecahedra
- Simple Tessellating Triangular Pyramids

Use your favourite search engine to find out the **general term used for three dimensional tessellations**:

Were you surprised at this mathematical term? Why do you think three-dimensionally tessellating objects have been given this name by mathematicians?
Create your own Tessellating Patterns (which ones are regular tessellations?)

[You can use your own choice of colours to create your pattern!]
D. Honeycomb Algebra

a. Some background to using Algebra

Algebra is a way of thinking mathematically about the structure of patterns and situations in the real world, not just a series of ‘maths rules’ that involve strange uses of letters of the alphabet!

Algebra can help us predict what will happen to the results in a pattern or a mathematical situation when we change some of the ‘inputs’ or ‘variables’ in the pattern or system.

A useful way of thinking about this might be with a recipe. Consider the following:

To make simple pancake batter for making one batch of 8 (good sized) pancakes you would need:

- 1 cup self-raising flour (let’s call this unit quantity of flour ‘\( f \), for ‘flour’);
- 1 cup whole milk (‘\( m \) for ‘milk’)
- 2 egg (‘\( e \) for ‘eggs’)
- 1 pinch of salt (‘\( s \) for ‘salt’).

Let’s also call a batch of 8 pancakes ‘\( P \) (for pancakes!).

There are other recipes that use the same ingredients (‘variables’) – but in different amounts.

Using algebra allows us to vary the ingredients to get a different overall result of ‘output’.

Now, I’m going to describe my pancake batter recipe using algebra!

Here we go: \[ f + m + e + s = P \]

This way of expressing the ‘formula’ for my recipe is called an algebraic equation.
This simply means that one unit (cup) of flour plus one unit (cup) of milk plus one unit of egg (2 eggs) plus one unit of salt (pinch) will equal batter for 1 batch of pancakes (8 pancakes).

But what if I’m feeding a hungry horde of 12 year olds for breakfast after a birthday party sleepover? I might need 3 times that many – say, 24 pancakes! This means I will need to multiply my recipe by 3, so:

\[ 3 \times (f + m + e + s) = 3P \]

Thinking about each of my ingredients in turn, we can rewrite the equation as follows:

\[ 3f + 3m + 3e + 3s = 3P \]

(So, 3 units of flour plus 3 units of milk plus… etc… equals batter for 3 batches, or 24 pancakes!)

Thus, algebra is a useful way of expressing in ‘maths language’ the way a pattern or system is made up of parts, and it can also help us see what happens to the outputs of a system or pattern (eg. number of pancakes) when we change the inputs in the system (eg. the ingredients for pancake batter).

(i) Can you write the equation we would need if we wanted 56 pancakes? (Remember, \( P = 8 \) pancakes):

How many cups of flour would this need (\( f = 1 \) cup) ? ______________

How many eggs (\( e = 2 \) eggs)? ________________
b. **Algebra and Geometry**

When we construct a geometric or shape pattern, we use the 'inputs' of lines and angles. Algebra can also tell us how many lines or angles we would need to use to generate a certain number of shapes in a given pattern.

Have a close look at the linear (ie, in one row) tessellating triangular pattern below:

In this pattern, as we add 1 new triangle, each new triangle shares a side with the previous triangle.

(ii) How many lines for 4 triangles? _______ How many for 6 triangles? _______

(iii) We'll pop the relationship between triangles and lines into an 'Input/Output' table. Complete the table, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Triangles in Pattern (Outputs)</th>
<th>Number of Lines (Inputs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now, the magic of algebra is that we can use it to help us to accurately predict how many inputs (lines) we would need to produce any given number of outputs (triangles).

Let’s first refer to the number of lines we need as the letter ‘ℓ’ (for ‘lines’).

We will also call the number of triangles in the pattern ‘t’ (for ‘triangles’).
Using trial and error, thinking about the relationship between lines and triangles (\(L\) and \(t\)) in this pattern, we can see that the number of lines \(L\) is equal to 2 times the number of triangles \(t\), plus 1.

We can write this as an algebraic equation:

\[ L = (2 \times t) + 1 \]

In most algebraic equations, we can be a bit ‘lazy’ and get rid of the multiplication symbol (x), assuming that if two terms are right next to one another they are being multiplied. Also, applying our rules of ‘order of operations’, we see that we don’t need the brackets, either. So, we can write the equation describing this pattern as follows:

\[ L = 2t + 1 \]

This little equation is actually very powerful as we can now use it to work out how many lines we will need to create a much larger number of triangles. If I want to make this pattern containing 200 triangles, for example:

\[ L = 2t + 1 \]

Therefore, \[ L = (2 \times 200) + 1 \]

\[ = 401 \]

Therefore, I will need 401 lines to create a triangular tessellating pattern containing 200 triangles.
c. **Hexagonal Algebra Patterns**

Honeycombs are arranged in tessellating hexagonal patterns, arranged in linear rows.

(iv) Using a ruler, draw in an additional four hexagons onto the hexagon below, so you have a single row of 5 hexagons:

![Hexagon Pattern]

(v) Complete the missing values in the table for the relationship between the number of hexagons \((h)\) in a linear pattern and the number of lines \((l)\) drawn to construct the pattern:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Hexagons ((h))</th>
<th>Number of Lines in total ((l))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(vi) Look back over the material on page 11, using an algebraic equation to describe the relationship between lines and shapes in the triangle pattern. Also, look carefully at the table of values for the hexagon pattern above.

Using your own reasoning and some trial and error, can you write an algebraic equation that describes the relationship between the number of hexagons and the number of lines? The structure of the equation has been provided for you:

\[ l = (\underline{\_\_\_\_} \times h) + \underline{\_\_\_\_} \]

ie, \[ l = \underline{\_\_\_\_}h + \underline{\_\_\_\_} \]

(vii) Use your algebraic equation to calculate how many lines \((l)\) would be needed to construct a linear pattern with 60 hexagons \((h = 60)\). Be sure to show your working!
E. Extension\(^1\): Algebraic description of Hexagonal Tessellation

Consider the following section of honeycomb containing 140 separate cell shapes.

There are 7 rows of exactly 20 cells (labelled as ‘a’ through to ‘t’ on the diagram) in each row. We have labelled the rows 1 through to 7:

(i) What if I were a (particularly clever) worker bee and I wanted to work out how many cell walls needed to be produced to construct this section of honeycomb?

In Row 1, our equation is as per the formula on the bottom of Page 12 above,

\[ I_{(\text{Row 1})} = \_\_\_ H_{(\text{Row 1})} + \_\_\_ \]  

However, consider then adding Row 2. By adding the first cell (cell ‘2a’ in the diagram), we are adding 5 walls to produce a new cell. However, for cells 2b through to 2t, we need only add 3 walls to complete each new cell.

The same applies for each other row from 2 through to 7.

\(^{1}\) Suitable for a Year 7 or 8 Level Enrichment / Extension task
Thus, for Rows 2 to 7 (i.e., 6 rows in total), the input/output table describing the mathematical relationship between the number of new lines (or new cell walls) \( l \)', and the number of new hexagons (or new cells) created \( h \)', for each row, is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of New Cell Walls Created in each of Rows 2-7 (Inputs) ( l )'</th>
<th>Number of New Cells in each of Rows 2 – 7 (Outputs) ( h )'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The algebraic equation for this relationship for each separate row (i.e., Rows 2 – 7 inclusive) is thus:

\[ l \text{ (Rows 2 to 7)} = \ldots \] \( h \text{ (Rows 2 to 7)} + \ldots \) \ldots \( (2) \)

Now, in this configuration of honeycomb there are a total of 7 rows.

The first one (Row 1) follows the formula at (1) above, and the next 6 rows follow the formula at (2) above.

Thus, to calculate the total number of cell walls \( l \)’, we would have the following algebraic expression:

\[ l \text{ (Total)} = l \text{ (Row 1)} + l \text{ (Rows 2 to 7)} \]

Therefore,

\[ l \text{ (Total)} = \left( \ldots \right) + 6 \times \left( \ldots \right) \ldots \( (3) \)\]

\[ \text{ from (1) above} \]

\[ \text{ from (2) above} \]
Of course, we know that for both Row 1 and Rows 2-7, the number of hexagons in each Row is 20. So,

\[ h = 20 \]

Therefore,

\[ l_{(\text{Total})} = [ (____ x 20) + ____ ] + 6 \times [ (____ x 20) + ____ ] \]

\[ = (____ x 20) + ____ + (6 \times ____ ) + (6 \times ____ ) \quad \ldots(4) \]

\[ = _________ \]

\[ = _________ \]

Therefore, our worker bees would need to build _________ cell walls in order to create the 140 new honeycomb cells in the pattern shown above.

(ii) What if our worker bees needed to expand their honeycomb to a larger section, containing even more rows and/or even more cells along each row?

Again, we can use algebra to help us solve this problem. Provided we know the number of cells in each row (remember, this variable we called ‘c ’), and the number of rows we would like (let’s call this variable ‘r’), then we can adapt our formula from (5) above to work out the number of cell walls needed.

To re-cap our algebraic pro-numerals: Let \( l = \text{Total number of cell walls to be produced}; \)
\( h = \text{Total number of hexagonal cells to be constructed in each row}; \) and
\( r = \text{Number of rows needed in the section}. \)

Remember, the first row is calculated differently from the remainder of the rows (this is due to the tessellating nature of the pattern).

\[ l_{(\text{Row 1})} = [ (____ x h ) + ____ ] \quad \ldots(5) \]
We can express the number of rows from Row 2 to Row \( r \) inclusive as ‘\( r - 1 \)’. Thus, the formula to calculate the number of cells for Rows 2 through to Row \( r \) (whatever that might be) is:

\[
\ell(\text{Rows 2 to } r) = (r - 1) \times [(___ \times \hat{h}) + ____] \quad \ldots(6)
\]

To work out the number of cell walls needed altogether, I will need to add the total for Row 1 together with the total for Rows 2 to \( r \).

Combining equations (5 and (6) above, we therefore have:

\[
\ell(\text{Total}) = [(___ \times \hat{h}) + ____] + (r - 1) \times [(___ \times \hat{h}) + ____] \quad \ldots(7)
\]

Use Equation 7 to calculate how many cell walls would need to be produced to construct a section of honeycomb that has 60 rows, with 40 cells in each row. Show your working!

\[
\ell(\text{Total}) = [(___ \times \hat{h}) + ____] + (r - 1) \times [(___ \times \hat{h}) + ____]
\]

\( \ell = \) Total number of cell walls to be produced

\( \hat{h} = \) __________

\( r = \) __________

Therefore, the total number of cell walls needed to construct a section of honeycomb that has 60 rows with 40 cells in each row is: __________